FairVote WASHINGTON

2026 Democracy Reform Legislative Scorecard

How every Washington State legislator voted on key democracy reforms

fairvotewa.org | March 2026

At a Glance

FairVote Washington advances democracy reforms like Ranked Choice Voting to give every voter a stronger voice. With the U.S. Supreme Court's April 2026 ruling in *Louisiana v. Callais* effectively gutting Section 2 of the federal Voting Rights Act, state-level protections are now the last line of defense for fair representation. This scorecard tracks how every Washington State legislator voted on key democracy reform bills during the 2026 session — at a moment when these votes have never mattered more. Scores reflect the percentage of pro-reform votes cast on bills where each legislator was present and voted.

147	61%	57%	69%
Legislators Scored	Overall Average	House Average	Senate Average

Bills Tracked

Passed — Delivered to Governor

✓ **HB 1710** — WVRA Preclearance

Requires local governments with a history of voting rights violations to get approval from the state Attorney General before making changes to their election systems, voting methods, or district boundaries.

✓ **HB 1750** — Promoting Local Democracy

Strengthens voting rights protections under the Washington Voting Rights Act by making it easier to challenge election practices that burden voters or dilute voting power based on race, color, or language minority status.

✓ **HB 1916** — Protecting Registered Voters

Raises the bar for challenging a voter's registration by requiring more documentation and proof, makes filing false voter challenges a criminal offense, and limits when challenge hearings can occur.

✓ **SB 6084** — Double Voting Prohibition

Clarifies that voting more than once in the same election—including casting ballots in different states on the same election day—is illegal, closing a loophole created by a prior court ruling.

✓ **SB 5892** — Voter Reg Database Protection

Protects the statewide voter registration database from unauthorized access and misuse by setting restrictions on who can view and use voter data while still allowing legitimate election administration.

Did Not Advance

■ **HB 2210** — Protect Our VOICES Act

Would allow local governments to adopt ranked choice voting and proportional representation systems. FairVote Washington endorsed this bill and lobbied legislators to support it. These voting methods give voters more choices and help diverse communities elect representatives who reflect their views.

■ **HB 1146** — Ballot Access in Jails

Would require jails and state hospitals to actively help eligible incarcerated and hospitalized individuals register to vote and access ballots, removing logistical barriers for those who retain their right to vote.

■ **HB 1116** — Recognizing Election Day

Would recognize the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November as Election Day and encourage civic education efforts by schools, government agencies, and community organizations.

■ **HB 1339** — Even-Year Elections

Would allow local governments to shift elections to even-numbered years when significantly more voters typically turn out, ensuring local leaders are chosen by a broader cross-section of the community.

■ **HB 1381** — Meaningful Language Access

Would require counties to provide election materials in languages spoken by significant minority populations with limited English proficiency, expanding access for non-English-speaking voters.

■ **SB 5069** — Voters' Pamphlet

Would require the Secretary of State to print and mail voters' pamphlets for all primary elections in even-numbered years and for every general election, ensuring all voters receive information about candidates and measures.

Scoring Methodology

House members are scored on **6 bills**: HB 2210 (**double weighted** — sponsor/committee support), HB 1710, HB 1750, HB 1916, SB 6084, and SB 5892. HB 2210 carries double weight because it represents FairVote Washington's core mission of advancing ranked choice voting and fair representation. Senators are scored on **5 bills**: HB 1710, HB 1750, HB 1916, SB 6084, and SB 5892. A "Yea" vote or sponsor support = pro-reform. "Nay" or absent = counted against. "Excused" votes are **excluded** from the calculation entirely, so an excused absence does not count against a legislator's score. Score = (weighted pro-reform positions / weighted bills voted on) × 100%.

House of Representatives

98 members | 6 bills scored | Sorted by score

Name	Party	Dist	2210	1710	1750	1916	6084	5892	Score
Berg	D	44	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Bergquist	D	11	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Callan	D	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Cortes	D	38	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Doglio	D	22	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Duerr	D	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Farivar	D	46	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Fitzgibbon	D	34	Y	Y	Y	Y	E	Y	100.0%
Fosse	D	38	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Gregerson	D	33	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Hill	D	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Lekanoff	D	40	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Macri	D	43	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Mena	D	29	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Obras	D	33	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Ormsby	D	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Parshley	D	22	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Peterson	D	21	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Pollet	D	46	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Ramel	D	40	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Reed	D	36	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Ryu	D	32	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Scott	D	43	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Simmons	D	23	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Springer	D	45	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Stearns	D	47	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Stonier	D	49	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Street	D	37	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Thai	D	41	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Thomas	D	34	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Zahn	D	41	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Bernbaum	D	24	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Berry	D	36	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Bronoske	D	28	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Davis	D	32	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Donaghy	D	44	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Entenman	D	47	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%

Name	Party	Dist	2210	1710	1750	1916	6084	5892	Score
Fey	D	27	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Goodman	D	45	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Hall	D	5	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Jinkins	D	27	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Kloba	D	1	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Morgan	D	29	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Nance	D	23	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Paul	D	10	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Reeves	D	30	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Richards	D	26	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Rule	D	42	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Salahuddin	D	48	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Santos	D	37	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Shavers	D	10	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Taylor	D	30	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Timmons	D	42	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Walen	D	48	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Wylie	D	49	—	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71.4%
Ortiz-Self	D	21	—	Y	E	Y	Y	Y	66.7%
Hackney	D	11	—	Y	Y	Y	E	E	60.0%
Leavitt	D	28	—	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	57.1%
Dent	R	13	—	E	E	E	Y	N	25.0%
Dufault	R	15	—	N	N	N	Y	E	16.7%
Eslick	R	39	—	N	N	N	Y	E	16.7%
Stuebe	R	17	—	N	N	N	Y	E	16.7%
Valdez	R	26	—	N	E	N	Y	N	16.7%
Abbarno	R	20	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Abell	R	7	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Barkis	R	2	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Barnard	R	8	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Burnett	R	12	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Chase	R	4	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Connors	R	8	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Corry	R	15	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Couture	R	35	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Dye	R	9	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Engell	R	7	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Graham	R	6	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Griffey	R	35	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Jacobsen	R	25	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Keaton	R	25	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%

Name	Party	Dist	2210	1710	1750	1916	6084	5892	Score
Klicker	R	16	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Ley	R	18	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Low	R	39	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Manjarrez	R	14	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Marshall	R	2	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
McClintock	R	18	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
McEntire	R	19	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Mendoza	R	14	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Penner	R	31	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Rude	R	16	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Schmick	R	9	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Schmidt	R	4	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Steele	R	12	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Stokesbary	R	31	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Walsh	R	19	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Waters	R	17	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Ybarra	R	13	—	N	N	N	Y	N	14.3%
Orcutt	R	20	—	N	N	N	E	N	0.0%
Tharinger	D	24	—	E	E	E	E	E	0.0%
Volz	R	6	—	N	N	N	E	N	0.0%

Y = Yea/Sponsor **N** = Nay **E** = Excused **—** = N/A

Senate

49 members | 5 bills scored | Sorted by score

Name	Party	Dist	HB 1710	HB 1750	HB 1916	SB 6084	SB 5892	Score
Alvarado	D	34	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Bateman	D	22	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Chapman	D	24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Cleveland	D	49	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Conway	D	29	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Cortes	D	18	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Dhingra	D	45	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Frame	D	36	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Hansen	D	23	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Hasegawa	D	11	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Hunt	D	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Kauffman	D	47	Y	Y	Y	E	Y	100.0%
Krishnadasan	D	26	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Liias	D	21	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Lovelett	D	40	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Lovick	D	44	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Nobles	D	28	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Orwall	D	33	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Pedersen	D	43	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Riccelli	D	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Robinson	D	38	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Saldaña	D	37	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Salomon	D	32	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Shewmake	D	42	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Slatter	D	48	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Stanford	D	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Trudeau	D	27	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Valdez	D	46	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Wellman	D	41	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Wilson, C.	D	30	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100.0%
Boehnke	R	8	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Braun	R	20	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Christian	R	4	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Dozier	R	16	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Fortunato	R	31	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Gildon	R	25	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Goehner	R	12	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%

Name	Party	Dist	HB 1710	HB 1750	HB 1916	SB 6084	SB 5892	Score
Harris	R	17	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Holy	R	6	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
King	R	14	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
MacEwen	R	35	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
McCune	R	2	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Muzzall	R	10	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Schoesler	R	9	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Short	R	7	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Torres	R	15	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Warnick	R	13	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Wilson, J.	R	19	N	N	N	Y	N	20.0%
Wagoner	R	39	N	N	N	N	N	0.0%

Y = Yea **N** = Nay **E** = Excused

FairVote Washington advocates for electoral reforms that give voters more voice and more choice.

fairvotewa.org | Data current as of March 2026